# Introduction to Delimited Continuations Typing Printf

# (継続を使った Printf の型付け)

# Kenichi Asai

#### Ochanomizu University

April 13, 2008

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ →三 ∽のへ⊙

# Outline of the talk

times (introduction)

(1) in Direct Style with exception

(2) in Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

#### sprintf

(3) in Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

(4) in Direct Style with shift/reset

Related Work / Summary

# (1) Times: Direct Style

Multiply elements of a given list:

```
let rec times lst = match lst with
  [] -> 1
  | first :: rest -> first * times rest
```

For example,

	times [1; 2; 3; 4; 5]
$\rightsquigarrow$	1 * times [2; 3; 4; 5]
$\rightsquigarrow$	1 * (2 * times [3; 4; 5])
$\rightsquigarrow$	1 * (2 * (3 * times [4; 5]))
$\rightsquigarrow$	1 * (2 * (3 * (4 * times [5])))
$\rightsquigarrow$	1 * (2 * (3 * (4 * (5 * times []))))
$\rightsquigarrow$	1 * (2 * (3 * (4 * (5 * 1))))
$\rightsquigarrow^*$	120

# (1) Times: Direct Style

Multiply elements of a given list:

```
let rec times lst = match lst with
  [] -> 1
  | first :: rest -> first * times rest
```

For example,

# (1) Times: Direct Style

If 0 is found, we can return 0 immediately:

```
let rec times lst = match lst with
    [] -> 1
    | 0 :: rest -> 0
    | first :: rest -> first * times rest
```

Then, we have:

We could avoid traversing [4; 5], but the unnecessary multiplication still remains.

# (1) Times: Direct Style with Exception

To discard the unnecessary multiplication, we use exception:

```
let rec times lst = match lst with
  [] -> 1
  | 0 :: rest -> raise Zero
  | first :: rest -> first * times rest
```

Then, we have:

try (times [1; 2; 0; 4; 5]) with Zero -> 0  $\rightsquigarrow$  try (1 \* times [2; 0; 4; 5]) with Zero -> 0  $\rightsquigarrow$  try (1 \* (2 \* times [0; 4; 5])) with Zero -> 0  $\rightsquigarrow$  try (1 \* (2 \* raise Zero)) with Zero -> 0  $\rightsquigarrow$  0

► The context (1 \* (2 \* □)) is discarded, enabling non-local jump.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

• Exception mechanism is required.

### (2) Times: Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

Continuation = work to be done after the current computation.

```
let rec times lst cont = match lst with
  [] -> cont 1
  | first :: rest ->
    times rest (fun r -> cont (first * r))
```

Then, we have:

times [1; 2; 0; 4; 5] i ~ times [2; 0; 4; 5] (fun r -> i (1 \* r)) ~ times [0; 4; 5] (fun r -> i (1 \* (2 \* r))) ~ times [4; 5] (fun r -> i (1 \* (2 \* (0 \* r)))) ~ times [5] (fun r -> i (1 \* (2 \* (0 \* (4 \* r))))) ~ times [] (fun r -> i (1 \* (2 \* (0 \* (4 \* (5 \* r)))))) ~ i (1 \* (2 \* (0 \* (4 \* (5 \* 1))))) ~ \* i 0

where i is an initial continuation (for example, fun  $x \rightarrow x$ )

#### (2) Times: Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

Avoid traversing over the list after 0 is found:

```
let rec times lst cont = match lst with
  [] -> cont 1
  | 0 :: rest -> cont 0
  | first :: rest ->
    times rest (fun r -> cont (first * r))
```

Then, we have:

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ニヨー の々ぐ

Still, 1 \* (2 \* 0) is computed.

# (2) Times: Continuation-Passing Style (CPS)

By not using cont, 0 is returned to the original call site.

```
let rec times lst cont = match lst with
  [] -> cont 1
  | 0 :: rest -> 0 (* cont is discarded! *)
  | first :: rest ->
    times rest (fun r -> cont (first * r))
```

Then, we have the following (where  $id = fun x \rightarrow x$ ):

- Non-local jump is realized through writing a program in CPS.
- We have to write whole the program in CPS.

# (2) Times: Direct Style with shift/reset

Write a program in direct style with:

- shift : captures the current continuation (up to reset)
- ▶ reset : installs the empty (identity) continuation

```
let rec times lst = match lst with
    [] -> 1
    | 0 :: rest -> shift (fun cont -> 0)
    | first :: rest -> first * times rest
```

Then, we have:

reset (fun () -> times [1; 2; 0; 4; 5]) → reset (fun () -> 1 \* times [2; 0; 4; 5]) → reset (fun () -> 1 \* (2 \* times [0; 4; 5])) → reset (fun () -> 1 \* (2 \* shift (fun cont -> 0))) → 0

where cont = fun r -> reset (fun () -> (1 \* (2 \* r))).

# Printf

Goal:

The types of the boxes depend on the first argument of sprintf.  $\implies$  Do we need dependent types? - No!

### Observation

The occurrence of % changes the type of the box, in other words, the type of the context (=continuation!).

If the current contiuation is available at hand, we could write a type-safe sprintf without using dependent types.

Danvy [JFP 1998] did this by writing the boxed parts in CPS.

The continuation is initialized by sprintf:

```
let sprintf pattern = pattern id
```

The string literal is simply passed to the continuation:

let lit s cont = cont s

For example, we have:

sprintf (lit "Hello world!")

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

- $\rightsquigarrow$  id "Hello world!"
- $\rightsquigarrow$  "Hello world!"

Two patterns, p1 and p2, are concatenated in a CPS manner:

let (++) p1 p2 cont =
 p1 (fun x -> p2 (fun y -> cont (x ^ y)))

Then, we have:

sprintf (lit "Hello " ++ lit "world!")

- $\rightsquigarrow$  (lit "Hello " ++ lit "world!") id
- $\rightarrow$  lit "Hello " (fun x -> lit "world!" (fun y -> id (x ^ y)))

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

 $\rightsquigarrow^*$  "Hello world!"

Let int and str be functions that return string representation of their argument:

let int x = string\_of\_int x
let str (x : string) = x

Then, % can be defined as follows:

let % to\_str cont = fun z -> cont (to\_str z)

We have:

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

Multilpe uses of % leads to accepting more arguments.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

Complete program (executable in OCaml):

```
let sprintf pattern = pattern (fun (s : string) -> s)
let lit s cont = cont s
let (++) p1 p2 cont =
  p1 (fun x -> p2 (fun y -> cont (x ^ y)))
```

let int x = string\_of\_int x
let str (x : string) = x

(\* % : ('b -> string) -> (string -> 'a) -> 'b -> 'a \*) let (%) to\_str cont = fun z -> cont (to\_str z)

Practical note: Because % in OCaml is an infix operator, we have to write (%) instead of %.

# (4) Printf: Direct Style with shift/reset

By transforming the CPS solution back to direct style (with shift and reset), we obtain:

```
let sprintf pattern = reset (fun () -> pattern)
let lit s = s
let (++) p1 p2 = p1 ^ p2
let int x = string_of_int x
let str (x : string) = x
let (%) to_str =
   shift (fun cont -> fun z -> cont (to_str z))
```

To run this program, one requires implementation of shift/reset that supports answer type modification.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### (4) Printf: Direct Style with shift/reset

Abbreviating (reset (fun () -> ...)) as  $\langle ... \rangle$ , we have:

$$\rightsquigarrow$$
  $\langle$   $\%$  str  $\hat{}$  "world!"  $\rangle$  "Hello "

 $\rightsquigarrow$  (fun z -> (str z ^ "world!")) "Hello "

$$\rightsquigarrow^*$$
 "Hello world!"

In CPS, it was:

sprintf (% str ++ lit "world!") "Hello "

$$\rightsquigarrow$$
 (% str ++ lit "world!") id "Hello '

$$(fun z \rightarrow (...) (str z)) "Hello$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆 - のへ⊙

...

# Related Work

Printf problem:

- Danvy [JFP 1998] presented a type-safe printf in ML. It is written in CPS and uses an accumulator parameter. Incorporated in Standard ML of New Jersey.
- Hinze [JFP 2003] solved the same problem in Haskell using type classes.
- The implementation of shift/reset:
  - shift/reset can be implemented using call/cc with a mutable cell [Filinski, POPL 1994].
  - Direct implementation of shift/reset for Scheme48 by Gasbichler and Sperber [ICFP 2002].
  - Kiselyov implements shift/reset for OCaml and Haskell [2007]. They support answer type modification and polymorphism, and thus can execute direct-style printf program.

# Summary

- Introduction to shift/reset using times and sprintf.
- Exact correspondence between CPS programs and direct-style programs with shift/reset. With shift/reset, we obtain the power of CPS without converting the program into CPS.

Q: Are shift/reset necessary if we can always simulate them by writing programs in CPS?

- Yes. Long time ago when higher-order functions are introduced, people must have argued that they are unnecessary because we can always write a program without using higher-order functions.
- Now, we know higher-order functions are useful. They provide us with a more abstract view.
- Likewise, control operators such as shift/reset provide us with higher level of abstraction.

I warmly invite you to the world of delimited continuations!